

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/884,026	AKIYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ian N. Moore	2661	

All Participants:

(1) Ian N. Moore.

(2) Carl Brundidge.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2005

Time: 11:30 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1,2,3,7,8,9,11,12

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

as set forth in examiner's amendment

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)